BOAT RAMP EVALUATION MATRIX

Concerns & Proposed Solutions					City has the authority to address the costs the costs of the location, controlling agency, and current legal interpretation. Scoring Values: 3, 2, 1 = Levels of improved b = No change -1, -2, -3 = Levels of improved b = No change -1, -2, -3 = Levels of improved b = No change -1, -2, -3 = Levels of interpretation.		Improves Safety Scoring Values: Levels of miproved safety 0 = No decreased safety		Potential liability of city Scoring Values: Values: Levels of reduced liability 0 = No change 1, -2, -3 of liability		Supports the existing capacity of the facility in lerms of visitors and use types. Scoring Values: 2, 1 = Levels of increased of increased of increased of accessed uses of decreased usage		Improves access to the facility bypes and additional use types. Scoring Values: 2, 1 = Levels of increased of any ba = No Change -1,-2 = Levels of decreased usage		Reduces neighborhoo d impact in traffic, noise, litter, crime, abuse. Scoring Values: 2, 1 = Levels Values: 2, 1 = Levels of restuced microsoft Change -1, -2 = Levels of increased impact		Reduces natural resource impact. Includes compliance with WQRA, Floodplain, Invasive/Nati vetworks floodplain, Invasive/Nati vetworks floodplain, Invasive/Nati vetworks drashock stores y restuced frestuced		Ease of Implementati and includes Zoning, Pernating, Complance, Inspection, Completion, Construction and Time frame for completion. Scoring Values: 2 = Some effort with short time effort with short time effort with short time effort with short time effort with short time trame 0 = Requires research to determine 1 = Unlikely to be Completed		Ongoing Cost and Effort Scoring Values: 3 = FreeNone 2 = Some expense 1 = Significant expense research is 0 = Requires research is 0 = Requires re		One Time Cost and Effort Scoring Values: 3 = FreeNone 2 = Some supersize Significant supersize 0 = Requires expense supersize 1 = One time texpense greater thant		Sco	ores
ltem	m Expressed Concerns Proposed Solutions Current Situation PRIORITY			3		2		2		3		1		1		3		2		3		3		Unweighted	Weighted	
1	Parking on streets - congestion/impacts	 Create 5 designated parking places on the street. 	designated parking places on the street. There is a yellow painted line on the curb opposite the ramp entrance.		0		0	c	0	c	0	a	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	a	0		c	0
		 Create parking spaces in Lloyd Minor Park 	Currently there is no designated parking places in the park. There is a yellow painted line on the curb opposite the ramp entrance.		0	0	0	c	0	c	0	a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c	0	0	o	
2	ack of restrooms	Install a seasonal porta potty in Lloyd Minor Park	Currently there are no restrooms at the park or ramp area.		0	0	0		0		0	0	0		ō	0	0	0	0	0	ō	0	0		0	0
3	Encroaching vegetation on the ramp	Prune vegetation restricting access on the ramp	Maintenance performed on a case by case basis and via volunteers. (not in City budget.)																							
4	Non-native vegetation at he ramp	The City considers removing these and replanting the area with native plants.	Maintenance performed on a case by case basis and via volunteers. (not in City budget.)		0	0	0		0		0		0		0	0	0		0	0	0		0		0	
5	Seep the existing hours of operation: November – April 8 AM - 8 PM May - October 8 AM - 9 PM	The City takes no action to change these.	Operation Hours November – April 8 AM - 8 PM May - October 8 AM - 9 PM																							
6	Reduce hours of use to: Dotober - May: 9 AM - 6 PM June - August: Weekdays: 9 AM -7 PM Weekends: 9 AM -7.30 PM	The City takes action to change the ordinance for operating hours.	Operation Hours November – April 8 AM - 8 PM May - October 8 AM - 9 PM		0	0	0		0	c	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0			
7	Extend the hours of use in he summer, ideally sunrise to sunset	The City takes action to change the ordinance for operating hours.	Operation Hours November – April 8 AM - 8 PM May - October 8 AM - 9 PM		0	0	0				0	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0		a	
8	The Public Trust Doctrine defines the ability to limit public access. The City has limited abilities about how is can restrict access and uses.	Obtain further legal opinion(s) on what the limits and liability risks are. Initial research has been done.	City attorney and a Public Trust specialist attorney have advised on this issue		0	0	0	c	0	c	. 0	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c	0	0	c	
9	Provide easier ramp access – the gate is an mpediment for elderly and others.	The City considers keeping the gate open at all times during operating hours.	The gate is manually closed by each user after entry or exit.																							C
		The City considers installing an automated gate.	The gate is manually closed by each user after entry or exit.		0	0	0	c	0	c	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	c c
10	The ramp is not ADA compliant	The City researches feasibility, costs, and implements actions to make the ramp ADA compliant.	The ramp is not ADA compliant.				0								0		0				0		0			0
11	imit access to only aunching/retrieving vatercraft.	The City could obtain a further legal opinion on this matter and take actions to exclude any other activities. However, discussions with OSMB and attorneys seems to indicate the City may not be able to do this.	Currently the ramp is not imited to only launching and retreiving watercraft.		0	0	0				0		0		0	0	0		0		0		0			
12	Council needs input from all citizens, not just those attending meetings.	The City could mail out a survey to all citizens.	Council has reached out to the community several times over the past 4 years on concerns. No survey on the matter has been launched.		0	0	0	c	0	C	0	a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	G	0	a	C	c c

13	Signage at the park should be consolidated	The City could develop a unified sign board for the park.	Signage is in more than one location on the Ramp			0		0		0		0										0			0
14	Reinstall the Stark Boat Ramp sign, for wayfinding and for emergency response.	The City could consider fabrication and installation of a new sign at the park.	The existing sign was removed and has not been replaced.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0
15	Boat Ramp users are challenged, harassed, threatened by the neighbors.	The City conducts workshops with the neighbors about legal issues they create for themselves by such actions, and the proper actions to take.	Boat Ramp users are challenged, harassed, threatened by the neighbors. Neighbors/ Cilizens harassed and threatened by ramp users. This creates a safety hazard for all.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	The City should erect fences on either side of the boat ramp to protect the neighbors and provide privacy.	The City could explore the feasibility of installing fencing and if any restrictions would prevent it.	in poor condition. It provides little privacy.																						
17	Uncertain property lines for the ramp	The City investigates costs for a property survey and has it done.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	a	0	0
18	Fund improvements via Go Fund Me, Kickstarter, or other funding mechanism	The City can not create Go Fund Me activities. Citizens can and donate funds to City projects. The City can also elect to have a tax base to fund various City projects.	There are no funding mechanisms in place for funding City projects, other than the City Annual Budget.	0	0	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	Q	0	0
19		The City takes no action to ban them.	Currently there is launching with no restrictions on motorized boats.	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	0
20	Ban the launch of motorized boats	The City could obtain a further legal opinion on this matter and take actions to exclude motorized boats. Preliminary discussions with OSMB seemed to indicate we may not be able to do this.	Currently there is launching with no restrictions on motorized boats.							0										0		0			0
21	Allow only "small boats" and other floating devices on the boat ramp	It seems the City may be able to limit size of boats by the design of the ramp entry. The City could explore this further if they wish to limit boat size. State and Federal regulations may limit what could be done.	Currently there is launching with no restrictions on boat size.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0		0		0		0	0	0		0	0
22	Ban picnicking on the boat ramp	The City may be able to do this above the high water line, but not below it.	Currently, there is no ban on picnicking on the boat ramp.	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	0	0		0	0
23	Ban minors on the boat ramp without adult supervision	The City would need to obtain legal guidance regarding the possibility of taking such action.	Currently there is no ban on unaccompanied minors using the boat ramp.	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	0
24	Improve ramp concrete surfacing and extend the ramp a few more feet into the river	The City could explore ways to find funding to make these improvements.	The ramp has areas at the end of it that have shifted, creating an uneven surface. During summer low water flow, the ramp ends almost right at the water level.	0				0		0				0		0		0		0	0	0		0	0
25	Consider creating alternate river access at Heritage Park	The City could explore the feasibility of creating such improvements and sources for funding them. OSMB can provide assessment of the site. IF access is feasible, the City would need to go through a series of public hearings and meetings to approve development of the facility.	Durrently there is no river access at Heritage Park.	0						0								0		0		0			0
26		The City could explore the feasibility of creating such mprovements and sources for funding them. OSMB can provide assessment of the site. If would need to go through would need to go through series of public hearing and meetings to approve development of the facility. Coordination with the City of LO would be hecessary.		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	0
27	The City should make a clear public statement about public use of the ramp.	The City could prepare a clear public statement regarding public use of the boat ramp. It could be circulated to all Rivergrove residents and provided on the park signs.	Some citizens seem unclear about public use of the boat ramp.	0						0				0		n		0		0		0		0	0
				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
																									0